INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Nagpur regional office

| | Mine : | file No : MAH/YTL/LST- | 63, | /NGP | | м | line | code | : | 38MSH26 | 5039 |
|----|------------|--|-----|---|---------------|----------------|------|------|---|---------|------|
| | (i) | Name of the Inspecting Officer and ID No. | : | MQ17) AS | HISH MISHRA | | | | | | |
| | (ii) | Designation | : | Sr. Asst. C | Contrl. Mines | 5 | | | | | |
| | (iii) | Accompaning mine Official with Designation | : | Shri D. K. | Singh, Mine | Manage | er | | | | |
| | (iv) | Date of Inspection | : | 30/08/2019 | | | | | | | |
| | (v) | Prev.inspection date | : | 21/09/2018 | | | | | | | |
| | | PAI | ۲T- | I : GENERA | AL INFORMATIO | ON | | | | | |
| 1. | (a) | Mine Name | : | ADEGAON (9. | 97H) | | | | | | |
| | (b) | Registration NO. | : | | | | | | | | |
| | (c) | Category | : | B Manual | | | | | | | |
| | (d) (e) | Type of Working Postal address | : | Opencast | | | | | | | |
| | | State | : | MAHARASHTRA | A | | | | | | |
| | | District | : | YEOTMAL | | | | | | | |
| | | Village | : | Adegaon | | | | | | | |
| | | Taluka | : | Zari | | | | | | | |
| | | Post office Pin Code | : | Adegaon 445319 | | | | | | | |
| | | FAX No. | : | 440319 | | | | | | | |
| | | E-mail | : | | | | | | | | |
| | | Phone | : | | | | | | | | |
| | (f) | Police Station | : | | | | | | | | |
| | (g) | First opening date | : | 23/05/2006 | | | | | | | |
| | (h) | Weekly day of rest | : | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | | ess for espondance | : | 49/5, Nehru At & P.O. E Distt. Durg | Bhilai, | | | | | | |
| 3. | (a) | Lease Number | : | MSH0293 | | | | | | | |
| - | (b) | Lease area | | 9.97 | | | | | | | |
| | (C) | Period of lease | : | 50 | | | | | | | |
| | (d) | Date of Expiry | : | 30/06/2053 | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Miner | ral worked | : | DOLOMITE LIMESTONE | | Associ Main | ated | | | | |

| 5. | Name and Address of th | 2 | |
|----|--|---|--|
| | Lessee : | SHRI T.R. MISHRA 49/5, Nehru Nagar (E), At & P.O. Bhilai, Distt. Durg DURG CHHATTISGARH Phone: FAX : | |
| | Owner : | SHRI T.R. MISHRA 49/5, Nehru Nagar (E), At & P.O. Bhilai, Distt. Durg DURG CHHATTISGARH Phone: FAX : | |
| 6. | Date of approval of Min Plan/Scheme of Mining | ning : Fresh under rule 22 MCR1960 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 Modif.approved Mining Scheme Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 MP modif under MCR 1960 | 30/04/2003 07/05/2009 31/10/2012 29/08/2016 27/12/2018 |

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | NİL | Nil for the year 2018-1 | 9 The area has already been proved upto G-1 level through 19 boreholes and several trial pits, wherein mainly Dolomite occurrence has been proved. Limestone is occurring in small bands which is being kept separate. Dolomitic Limestone is being consumed after blending with Dolomite. |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | G-1 | Limestone is proved upt G-1 level. | Limestone is occurring in approximately 1.89 ha area as outcrop in the northern part. Alongwith this, it is also occurring in bands in the Doloite Zone which has been entirely proved upto G-1 level. |
| lc | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Nil for the year | Nil for the year | |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | Nil | Nil | Entire area is proved upto G-1 level. |
| le | Balance reserve as on 01/04/20 | Balance reserves for Limestone as on 01.04.2019 is given under Actual Work details. | 121-46664 T Total: 46664 T | |

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc

Geologically the area is having very less occurrence of Limestone in form of bands/layers having 3-4 m thickness in top layer mostly and rest being Dolomite. In Norhern part of the lease area, there are few outcrops of Limestone but that also is limited to 3-4 m thickness. Exploration has been done in detail to prove the deposit upto approximately 30 m in general and upto 47 m at few locations. Depth continuity is proposed to be proved in future upon reaching the pit bottom.

| Development | : |
|-------------|---|
|-------------|---|

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---------------|-------------|---|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | grids N300 to | Nil | No development or production of Limestone due to no demands. |

2b Separate benches As Limestone Nil in topsoil, is mostly overburden and outcropping, there is very minerals (Rule thin layer of 15) clay is occurring in the lease area which is proposed to be used for plantation after sorting of Limestone. Siliceous and clayey material is interbanded with the mineral, hence separate benches is not possible, instead the material which is approximately 30% of the total excavation is proposed to be kept separate after sorting of mineral. 2c Stripping ratio Ore:OB ratio Nil or ore to OB proposed is ratio 1:0.67 (Out of total excavation, 60% is Limestone, rest is waste) 2d Quantity of Clayey Nil topsoil Material generation in m3 (available soil) is proposed to be generated in the tune of 60 cum 2e Quantity of Waste/ Nil Overburden to overburden generation in m3 be generated in 2018-19: 444 cum

No development or production of Limestone due to no demands.

No development or production of Limestone due to no demands.

No development or production of Limestone due to no demands.

No development or production of Limestone due to no demands. 2f General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type

Type of the deposit is Dolomite and Limestone interbanded with bands of Siliceous and Clayey material. Dolomite is mainly worked in the pit located at N2192200 to N2192600 & E280600 to E280900 with approximate depth of workings upto 30 m (water logged beyond 10 m-12 m depth as on the date on inspection). As Limestone has very less occurrence in the area, and due to low demand, development for Limestone is in form of two benches of 1.5 ${\tt m}$ each (top layer) which may be considered as satisfactory.

Exploitation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--------------|---|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | One Pit for Limestone (One existing pit for Dolomite) | Nil | |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 2018-19: 1890 T Limestone | 2018-19: Nil | No development or production of Limestone due to no demands. |

of deposit etc

| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | Recovery of usable mineral (Limestone) from the total excavation is reported as 60%. Limestone recovery is 100% and no generation of sub-grade or mineral rejects is proposed. | As per | r the | propo | osals. | |
|----|---|---|---------------------------|--------|-------|--------|---|
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Nil | Nil | | | | Threshold value is >34% Cao and <4% MgO, available Limestone is having quality of ~54% CaO and 0.3- 1% MgO. Hence, no proposals for mineral reject generation/grade. |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | Threshold value is >34% Cao and <4% MgO, available Limestone is having quality of ~54% CaO and 0.3-1% MgO. Hence, no proposals for mineral reject generation/gra de. | Nil | | | | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | Nil | Nil | | | | |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | Nil | Nil | | | | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Manual sorting | Manua excava recove | ated 1 | mater | ial to | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No such proposals | Nil | | | | |

3j Provision of 34 mm diameter Nil
drilling and jackhammer
blasting in drills are
mineral benches proposed to be
used for
formation of
1.5 m benches

vendors. 3k Provision of Nil, blasted mining material is machineries in proposed to k mineral benches sorted manually and

es in proposed to be enches sorted manually and transported to the mineral stack through trucks. Waste/clay soil is transported through trucks to earmarked

in Limestone. Blasting is proposed to be carried out by government authorized

Nil

31 Whether height Height of of benches in benches for overburden and Limestone is mineral suitable proposed as for method of 1.5 m which mining proposed suitable for in MP/SOM manual operations.

locations. Height of Nil benches for Limestone is 1.5 m which is suitable for manual operations. Further, it is also suitable as per the occurrence to obtain optimum recovery of Limestone from the excavated material.

No development or production of Limestone due to no demands.

No development or production of Limestone due to no demands.

No development or production of Limestone for the year 2018-19 due to no demands. However, top benches have been formed of 1.5 m bench height in the dolomite bearing areas to recover Limestone separately.

| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits | For Limestone, there is no separate pit existing, however, developing one pit in between grids N300 to N350 and E100 to E200 (Local grid) was proposed in the years 2016-17 to 2018-19. Dolomite pit is having approximately 2.85 ha area at location N2192200 to N2192600 & E280600 to E280900. | Nil for Limestone. | |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year. | Ore:OB ratio proposed is 1:0.67 (Out of total excavation, 60% is Limestone, rest is waste) | Nil | No development or production of Limestone due to no demands. |
| 30 | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year | Total area put to use under different heads as on 01.04.2019 is furnished in the actual work details. | Area under pits: 2.85 ha Area under Waste Dumps: 0.71 ha Area under infrastructure/roads: 0.24 ha Area under Plant and MIneral Storage: 0.40 ha Total Area: 4.2 ha | Apart from this, area under plantation is 0.5 ha in the southern and south-western part of the lease area within 7.5 m non-mining zone. |

3p Production of 2017-18: 1890 2017-18: 910 T ROM mineral 2016-17: Nil Т during the last 2016-17: 1890 2015-16: 1570 T five year period T 2014-15: 500.56 T as applicable 2015-16: 1890 2013-14: Nil т 2014-15: No proposals for Limestone 2013-14: No proposals for Limestone 3q General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.

For 2014-15, mining plan document was submitted in this office, but the same could not be approved as Dolomite was declared as minor mineral by the Govt. of India. The document was subsequently approved by the State Government. No additional pit as proposed was developed for Limestone and the production of Limestone is incidental to Dolomite production (from the upper layer containing Limestone band). Method of mining is manual for Limestone in the year 2018-19, and as per the occurrence of the Limestone in the area, method of mining is suitable for getting optimum recovery. Further, as on the date of inspection, mechanization has been changed to Category A, as the document has been subsequently prepared for Major and Minor mineral co-occurrence.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

Sl.No.

Propasals

Actual work

Remarks

Item

PAGE : 11

4a Separate dumping As Limestone Nil for the year 2018-19 No development or of topsoil, OB is mostly for Limestone. production of and mineral outcropping, Limestone due to rejects (Rule there is very no demands. 32,33) thin layer of clay is occurring in the lease area which is proposed to be used for plantation after sorting of Limestone. Siliceous and clayey material is interbanded with the mineral, hence separate benches is not possible, instead the material which is approximately 30% of the total excavation is proposed to be kept separate after sorting of mineral. Soil: Nil 4b As per the proposals for Location of topsoil, OB and Overburden/Was minor mineral, Nil for Limestone mineral reject te: One mian dumps dump at N70 to N180 & E400 to E550 (local grid), several small dumps besides the pit at location N200 to N320 & E200 to E500 (all pertaining to minor mineral Dolomite) Waste from Limestone is proposed to be dumped at N280 to N310 and E80 to E100 Mineral Rejects: Nil

| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | All dumps within the lease area (for minor mineral) | As per the proposals | |
|----|--|--|----------------------|---|
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Dumps for minor mineral are proposed within the pit limits as entire lease area is mineralized. | As per the proposals | |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | | Nil | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | Nil | Nil | |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | Nil | Nil | |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | Garland drain are proposed to be built around the dumps proposed for Limestone | Nil | No development or production of Limestone due to no demands. |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | 25 m garland drain around Limestone waste dump | Nil | No development or production of Limestone due to no demands. |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | No such proposals | Nil | |

4k Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management Waste dump management proposals were satisfactory as waste material was proposed to be kept separate at eramarked location. Garland drain of approximately 25 m around the toe of the dump towards the lease boundary side was also proposed. However, as no development or production from Limestone pit has taken place, actual work is Nil. The same may subsequently be checked in the course of regular inspections.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|-------------|---------|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | No backfilling is proposed | Nil | |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No such proposals | Nil | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | No such proposals/No top soil available | Nil | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | No such proposals | Nil | |

5e General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. Backfilling cannot be envisaged as mineral (Dolomite) included in the lease deed is occurring beyond the explored limits. The area may be converted into water reservoir at conceptual stage.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|--------------------------------|--|
| ба | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | PMCP report need to be submitted before 1st July every year to furnish details of PMCP work carried out during previous year | No such report submitted | The report was obtained during site inspection and hence, no violation was given. |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | No such proposals | Nil | Only available area is 7.5 m non- mining zone for plantation purpose. |
| бс | afforestation done (ha). | 0.002 ha in 2018-19 | 0.01 ha in the year 2018-19 | Overall, 0.5 ha area within 7.5 m non-mining zone in South and SW part has been covered under plantation. |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 20 saplings | 100 saplings | |
| бе | Cumulative no .of plants | Approximately 200 | 500 Saplings | |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | No such proposals | Nil | |

бg Cost incurred on No specific Rs 34000/- approximately proposals, watch and care during the year expenditure over environment monitoring, plantation need to be covered. 6h Compliance on No such Nil reclamation and proposals rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx ВхD бi Compliance on No such Nil reclamation and proposals rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings бj Compliance on No such Nil reclamation and proposals rehabilitation by backfilling (iii)Afforestati on on backfilled area бk Compliance on Nil No such reclamation and proposals rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir 61 Compliance on Nil No such reclamation and proposals rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. бm Compliance of It is proposed 100 saplings have been rehabilitation to plant 20 planted. of waste land saplings in within lease the southern/ (i)afforestation southwestern part of the lease area

| бn | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | 0.002 ha | 0.01 ha |
|----|--|--|------------------------|
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | Green belt development | Green belt development |
| бр | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | nvironment monitoring is proposed and being carried out in the core as well as buffer zone for Air, Water, Noise and Ground Water (3 stations each for air, water and noise-4 season i.e. quarterly monitoring, Ground water monitoring at 1-2 locations, once in a year). | As per the proposals |

6q General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. Plantation is the main activity undertaken under PMCP. Plantation work has been carried out at the proposed locations as well as existing plantation is available near the weigh bridge. Total area covered under plantation is around 0.5 ha. To enhance survival, fencing of plantation is being practiced as seen during the site inspection. Environment monitoring is also being carried out regularly and the analysis report is within the permissble standards.

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---------------------------|---|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | is being dispatched | As per the proposals, grade-wise sorted mineral was dispatched in the past. ROM stock for Limestone is also available which is proposed to be graded prior to dispatch. For the year 2018-19, dispatch of Limestone is Nil. | |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Manual sorting | Manual sorting is being practiced. For the year 2018-19, the information is Nil as no production/sorting of Limestone was carried out. | |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | Cement Grade Limestone | As per the proposals | |

7d Any No such Nil There is one beneficiation proposals crusher located at process at mines northern part of the lease area . which is mainly being used for crushing of minor mineral Dolomite for consuming in the lessee owned plant. 7e General remarks Mineral of inspecting conservational officer on aspects are Mineral satisfactory as conservation and Limestone is beneficiation occurring in thin issues bands lying above Dolomite (minor mineral) in the area which is proposed to be excavated and sorted through manual workings to obtain optimum recovery. Further, as Limestone and Dolomite, both are occurring in the area, high magnesia Limestone is being consumed alongwith Dolomite after blending.

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|----------------------|-------------|--|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | - | Nil | |
| 3b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | No such proposals | Nil | Clayey material occurring in the top layer or inetrbands is proposed to be used for plantation purpose. |

| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | As Limestone is mostly outcropping, there is very thin layer of clay is occurring in the lease area which is proposed to be used for plantation after sorting of Limestone. Siliceous and clayey material is interbanded with the mineral, hence separate benches is not possible, instead the material which is approximately 30% of the total excavation is proposed to be kept separate after sorting of mineral. | | the proposals |
|----|--|---|-----|---------------|
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No such proposals | Nil | |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | No such proposals | Nil | |

given in the approximately 500 saplings in the southern and south western part existence of of the lease area prior to the commencement operations in proposed to be planted in the area (2016-17 Survival kept low 50% due to damage by cattles and scarcity of water. To deal with this, drip irrigation is being used near weigh bridge area, and fencing of plantation is being practiced (as seen during

Additional plantation

Na man the musesala

| | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | sprinkling is | AD | per | | proposals | |
|--|---|---------------|----|-----|--|-----------|--|
|--|---|---------------|----|-----|--|-----------|--|

Baseline

mining plan

regarding

plantation

of mining

the area. Further, as per the last approved mining plan document, 20 saplings per year is

to 2018-19).

80%

Weters envirolations Vee seters

information is carried out is

8f

8g

01-

Baseline

information on

plantation and

plantation done

Survival rate

existence of

additional

(Rule 41)

site inspection).

8i General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area Aesthetic beauty in and around the area is satisfactory apart from normal degradation due to active mining in the area. Plantation is being carried out by the lessee for aesthetic improvements alongwith efforts for survival of the plantation carried out.

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|--|---|
| 9a | submission of is required to | | | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining Engineer: Shri D. K. Singh Geologist: Outsourced Manager: Shri D. K. Singh | As per the category of the mine in the year 2018-19, part time Geologist was appointed. | It was suggested to mention the name of the Geologist so appointed in the submited return instead of mentioning 'Outsourced'. |

9c Scrutiny of Area under Correct information has Lessee was Annual return on opencast been provided. suggested to land use pattern workings: 2.85 mention the area for area under under PMCP works ha pits, reclaimed Area under carried out during area, dumps etc. Waste Dumps: each year also. 0.71 ha Area under Infrastructure : 0.24 ha Other: 0.4 ha 9d Scrutiny of Number of Complete informtion has Annual return on trees planted been provided. Area afforestation during the where Plantation was year: 100 carried out, was Survival rate verified in the field in percentage: during inspection. 50% 9e Scrutiny of Nil Correct information Annual return on furnished mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) 9f Scrutiny of For Limestone- Complete and correct Annual return on ROM: information furnished ROM stock and/or (a) Opencast graded ore workings: Opening Stock-385.89 Т, Production-Nil, Closing Stock- 385.90 Т (b) Dump Workings: Opening stock-26.13 Т, Production-Nil, Closing Stock- 26.13 T Graded Ore: (Cement Grade Limestone) Opening Stock-412.02 T, Production-Nil, Dispatch-Nil, Closing Stock- 412.02 т

| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | dispatch) Ex-mine price: Rs 450/- per T Cost of production: Rs | As no dispatch has occurred, lessee was advised to mention last available ASP in the Ex-mine Price column. Cost of production has been considered as per the depreciation only, but as the production is Nil, it was advised to get the same corrected. | Being minor errors and nil production and dispatch for Limestone, no violation was issued, instead, it was advised to get the errors corrected by getting the returns referred back. |
|----|---|--|--|---|
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | | Complete information provided. | |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | No machinery except 'Air Compressor'. | As per the extent of mechanization given in the approved mining plan document for Limestone, correct information has been furnished. | |

| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------|----|----------|-------------------------|--|
| Violation observed | | | | Show | couse position | |
| Rule NO. | Issued on | Compliance | on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | |

Date :

(ASHISH MISHRA)

Indian Bureau of Mines